

	1	3	5
Justification	The justification is missing or incomplete.	The justification is present. It has used textual evidence to make its case, but the strength of case may or may not be strong. There also may be errors that detract from the overall impression.	The justification gives clear insight as to why this object should have a historical marker. Textual evidence is clear and concise. It is obvious that group has made good use of the research available in their argument.
Wording	Wording is over the limit or is not concise and clear	Wording is not concise and clear. It may be poorly chosen as it does not quickly give the reader an insight into the history and significance of the object.	Wording concise and clear and instantly gives the reader a picture as to the importance of the object.
Graphic	Graphic is unclear or poorly depicted	Graphic is lacking in clarity or does not meet the guidelines. Care may not have been taken in the creation.	Graphic is well designed and well presented. It give the committee an excellent insight into the manner in which the marker is to be designed.
Overall	This project does not meet all expectations	This project meets expectations, but is lacking in some fashion. It does not possess a immediate positive reaction.	This project meets and exceeds expectations and should be given favorable considered by the committee.